

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/827,061 | 04/05/2001 | Chuan-Yu Hsu | YUSO-112 | 3443 | |
| 75 | 90 07/03/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Raymond Sun 12420 Woodhall Way | | | GIBBS, HEATHER D | | |
| Tustin, CA 92782 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2625 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/827,061 | HSU ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Heather D. Gibbs | 2625 | | |

| Boioro aro i ming or an rippour Biror | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Heather D. Gibbs | 2625 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origir than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of le appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecalice |
| (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co | | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | , , | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Alona I. Cladana and and | 41: 41 |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-57</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a Ni d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence i | of necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | 100 |
| | | | S/D/LEE |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Upon newly proposed amendment, further search and consideration would be required.

Continuation of 13. Other: The non-glass reflective element (9, 20), which is a thin plate, has a reflective layer constructed of a chrome film (27) over which a clear substrate (29) is formed, and an adhesive layer (25). The reflective element (thin plate) (9, 20) also comprises two (outer) liners (23 and 31) for protecting the outside layers of the element (9, 20). These liners are removed during the pasting process of the reflective element thin plate onto the connection plane (inner surface of 17) of the frame (17) to expose an adhesive layer (25) so that the layer (25) is adhered to the surface of the frame (17). The protective Layer (31) is clear and is adhered to clear substrate (29 of 9 or 20) based on electrostatic forces (or with an adhesive that will be completely removed upon removal of the protective liner) (col. 4,, lines 40-45). The reflective thin plate (9, 20) is made of flexible materials (27, 29, etc.) and fits onto the connection plane, i.e., the surface of the frame (17) of a bending- shape.

The purpose of Vent's use of the reflective thin plate (9, 20) is to increase intensity of reflected light in a document image scanner with reduced cost and complexity (col. 2, Lines 61-67).